

Academic Grievance Policy On behalf of CAS, Kate Gray participated in the subcommittee commissioned by CEPP to discuss academic grievance. Throughout the year CAS engaged in many discussions about this topic.

Major declaration timeframe 10-2010 (ugEMC /P <</h 212s)-1(sEMC

on institutions that have policies allowing considerations for “exceptional circumstances and that as long as institutions give petitions full consideration (including, most importantly, having its own experts and specialists of commensurate evaluate medical opinions that students might submit on their own behalf), the institution tends to win these cases. Following this discussion, CAS agreed to invite Skidmore’s experts from Health Services, the Counseling Center, and SAS as necessary to offer insight to a student’s particular case and in evaluation of his/her medical documents ~~with~~ the understanding that these individuals would need to operate within the strictures of confidentiality commitments CAS agreed that these individuals ~~will~~ not participate in CAS deliberations about the specific case.

F((n)-10(g).5oe)6(n)2(tia)6(lit)-10(y)22(c)6(o)2(mmitme)6(m7(A* [(f)3(r)3(o(c)4(um)