INSTITUTIONAL POLICY AND PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES APRIL 26, 2024

Present: Adrian Bautista, Marc Conner (Chair), Tim Harper, Masako Inamoto, Dan Konstalid, Michelle Hubbs, Lisa Jackson-Schebetta, Nathaniel Lowell '24, Josh Maxwell '26, Dorothy Mosby, Lori Parks, Beth Post, Jess Ricker, Tarah Rowse, Joseph Stankovich, Dwane Sterling, Smriti Tiwari (Vice Chair) Amy Tweedy, Sarah Delaney Vero, Dominique Vuvan, Joshua Woodfork, Carey Anne Zucca.

Zoom: Rodr [up)9.8 (da)11 (t)6.8 (e)**T**J0 Tc 0 Tw 2.657 0 Td()Tj-0.002 Tc 0.002 Tw 0.219 0 Td(to)Tj0 Tc 0 Tc 0.002 Tc 0.002 Tw 0.219 0 Td(to)Tj0 Tc 0 Tc 0.002 Tc 0.002 Tw 0.219 0 Td(to)Tj0 Tc 0 Tc 0.002 Tc 0.002 Tw 0.219 0 Td(to)Tj0 Tc 0 Tc 0.002 Tc 0.002 Tw 0.219 0 Td(to)Tj0 Tc 0 Tc 0.002 Tc 0.002 Tw 0.219 0 Td(to)Tj0 Tc 0 Tc 0.002 Tc 0.002 Tc 0.002 Tw 0.219 0 Td(to)Tj0 Tc 0 Tc 0.002 Tc 0.002

broadly. There are a few of the highlights. Start-up funds are

After a number of discussions with Dean Mosby, VP Konstalid, Vice Chair Tiwari, and the IPPC Subcommittee on Budget and Finance, President Conner proposed a model for how we can work collaboratively going into next year. To do this, we take our IPPC subcommittee on Budget and Finance, and we augment it. We add two at-large faculty and two at-large staff members to the subcommittee to gain more perspective on this issue. This group will have meetings two times a month.

What is the road map for Skidmore's future? We need to ask what we want to do and what we can afford to do and how do we align these two? We continue to be in a growth mindset, but the headwinds and constraints in our industry are significant. This means there will be tradeoffs. We can do anything we want; but we cannot do everything we want. We can only do this if we do it together which is a shared commitment. This connects to Strategic Planning and what has been accomplished and the work yet to come. There have been many meetings and discussions this year including at extended cabinet, IPPC, the board, faculty and staff meetings, and the President's Leadership Council. President Conner mapped out the timeline for the next *Strategic Plan*.

SGA President Lowell suggested that the augmented subcommittees are a good idea to bring more representation to the community. Perhaps involving students in the community brugges of 6.45 (2008) (6) 4.24 (6) 4.2

shared that the Information Security Policy is the umbrella policy that governs the way that the IT Department manages the IT universe. As a result, many of the parts of this policy are not necessarily going to be executed by members outside of the IT department. A question came up about roles and responsibilities specifically around whether or not we are adding additional responsibilities to people who might already have a heavy workload. What we are trying to accomplish is making sure that anybody that is a data owner will be responsible on some level for the security of data. CTO Sterling also spoke about the importance of security scans.

It was suggested that the changes to these policies be highlighted in red for IPPC and Cabinet to review. And it was asked if we want to do an outreach to certain groups to review these changes before we approve them? CTO Sterling agreed to meet with the SGA leadership to review and explain the red line changes to this policy. Additionally, FEC Chair